

Agenda The Township of Cavan Monaghan Committee of Adjustment

> Thursday, June 4, 2020 9:00 a.m.

- 1. Call to Order
- 2. Disclosure of Pecuniary Interest and the General Nature Thereof
- 3. Minutes
 - 3.1 Minutes of the Committee of Adjustment meeting held February 7, 2020
- 4. Reports
 - 4.1 Report Planning-2020-11 Pristine Homes (Millbrook) Inc. Minor Variance Application MV-02-20 (CC)
- 5. Correspondence Received
- 6. Questions from the Committee
- 7. Questions from members of the public
- 8. Consideration of Application by the Committee
- 9. Adjournment



Minutes

The Township of Cavan Monaghan

Committee of Adjustment

Friday, February 7, 2020 8:30 a.m. Council Chambers

Those members in attendance were:

Ryan HuntleyCouncillorAaron GloverMember

Staff

Christina Coulter Planner Elana Arthurs Clerk

Those members who sent regrets were:Michael SempleMember

1. Call to Order

Chairman Huntley called the meeting to order at 8:30 a.m.

2. Disclosure of Pecuniary Interest and the General Nature Thereof

There were no pecuniary interests noted.

3. Minutes

3.1 Approval of Minutes of November 14, 2019

CA/07/02/20/01 Moved by: Glover Seconded by: Huntley That the minutes of the Committee of Adjustment meeting held November 14, 2019 be approved.

Carried

4. Reports

4.1 Planning Report 2020-02 Capper and Laperle Minor Variance Application MV-01-20

Ms. Coulter spoke to the application received for the minor variance and noted the impact of the variance. She provided an explanation of the

1

proposed garage requiring the minor variance and why it was minor in nature.

5. Correspondence Received

Ms. Coulter noted that the correspondence received from the Health Unit requested a sewage system permit. Ms. Coulter circulated the application to internal staff, and noted there were no comments on the prosed minor variance nor were there comments from ORCA.

6. Questions from the Committee

The Committee discussed the setbacks and the impact on the neighbours.

7. Questions from members of the public

There were no questions from members of the public.

8. Consideration of Application by the Committee

CA/07/02/20/02 Moved by: Glover Seconded by: Huntley That the Committee of Adjustment review and consider all verbal and written comments received regarding this application; and That the Committee approve Application MV-01-20.

Carried

9. Adjournment

CA/07/02/20/03 Moved by: Semple Seconded by: Glover

That the meeting adjourn. (8:37 a.m.)

Carried

Ryan Huntley Chair

Elana Arthurs Clerk



Committee of Adjustment

То:	Committee of Adjustment
Date:	June 4, 2020
From:	Christina Coulter, Planner
Report Number:	Planning 2020-11
Subject:	Pristine Homes (Millbrook) Inc.
	Minor Variance Application MV-02-20

Recommendations:

- 1. That the Committee of Adjustment review and consider all verbal and written comments received regarding this application;
- 2. That the Committee approve Application MV-02-20 with no conditions.

Overview:

On behalf of Pristine Homes (Millbrook) Inc., Brent Smith of RN Design Ltd. applied to the Township of Cavan Monaghan for approval of a minor variance to permit an increased encroachment into the exterior side yard for the porch and stairs. The variance is required to permit the construction of a new single detached dwelling at 21 Fernridge Heights, Lot 81, Plan 45M 256 in part Lot 12, Concession 5 of the Cavan Ward.

A key map showing the location of the property is provided as Attachment No. 1 to this Report. The grading plan showing the location of the house, porch and stairs is provided as Attachment No. 2 to this Report.

The subject property is a vacant lot in an approved plan of subdivision (File 15T-14001). The lot has 12.93 metres (42.4 feet) of frontage on Fernridge Heights and has a depth of 34 metres (111.5 feet) and a total lot area of 427.24 square metres (4,598.7 square feet). The lot is zoned Urban Residential One Subzone B Exception Two (UR1-B-2). The UR1-B-2 Zone permits a single detached dwelling (Section 3.2, Table 3A).

A single detached dwelling is proposed for the property. The single detached dwelling was designed to fit within the established zoning regulations (i.e. front yard, side yard, exterior side yard and rear yard) on the initial subdivision survey. However, there is a severe drop in grade elevation adjacent to where the main porch and entry to the house are located in the exterior side yard. As such additional risers off the porch are required. While the porch risers will project towards the front of the house, the porch and steps will project into the exterior side yard setback. Consequently, the single detached dwelling

model designed for the corner lot cannot be located on the lot in accordance with the existing zoning by-law regulations.

Zoning By-law No. 2018-58, as amended, requires a minimum exterior side yard of 2.5 metres in the UR1-B-2 Zone (Section 3.4.43 c)). The By-law permits porches/verandahs and steps to project 1.5 metres (4.92 feet) into the exterior side yard (Section 11.41.1 f)). While the dwelling itself meets the required exterior side yard setback, the porch and steps will project beyond the allowable 1.5 metre (4.92 foot) encroachment into the exterior side yard.

The proposed porch and steps will project 2.16 metres (7.09 feet) into the exterior side yard, leaving 0.35 metres (1.15 feet) between the porch/steps and the exterior side lot line. The permitted encroachment is exceeded by 0.66 metres (2.17 feet). A minor variance is required to permit the increased projection into the exterior side yard as it applies to the porch and steps.

The purpose of the minor variance is to increase the maximum exterior side yard encroachment for the porch and steps in the UR1-B-2 Zone from 1.5 metres (4.92 feet) to 2.16 metres (7.09 feet). All other standard regulations of the UR1-B-2 Zone will apply to the property.

Notice of the minor variance application was circulated, by first class prepaid mail, to all assessed persons within 60 metres (200 feet) of the subject property and to all required ministries and agencies. A sign was also posted on the Fernridge Heights frontage of the property.

As of the date of drafting of this Report, Township Staff had not received any oral or written comments about or objections to the variance.

Notice of the application was also circulated to all Township Department Directors. Staff had no objections to the variance.

Planning Review

Section 45 of the Planning Act provides the tests that must be satisfied to support a minor variance application. The tests are as follows:

1. Does the minor variance maintain the general intent and purpose of the Official Plan?

The subject property is within the Millbrook Urban Settlement Area and is designated Residential as shown on Schedule A-1 to the Township's Official Plan. The variance will apply to a corner lot within the Residential designation.

Residential uses are permitted in the Residential designation (S. 4.1.2 a)). The proposed variance will not affect the residential use of the property.

2. Does the minor variance maintain the general intent and purpose of the Zoning Bylaw?

The general intent and purpose of the Zoning By-law is maintained because the residential use is permitted (Section 3.2, Table 3A) and the proposed development can comply with all of the zoning by-law regulations, excepting the maximum encroachment into an exterior side yard for a porch and stairs. The larger than normal encroachment into the exterior side yard is required because of grading issues.

3. Is the proposed use desirable for the appropriate development or use of the land?

The property is to be developed for residential use. The adjacent land uses will also be residential. The proposed encroachment into the exterior side yard will not adversely affect the public interest.

4 Is the variance minor?

The proposed variance is minor in nature. The residential land use is permitted. Township Staff have confirmed that the Township interests are not adversely affected by the reduced exterior side yard.

After hearing public comment and considering all written submissions, the Committee has the following options:

- 1. approve the minor variance with no conditions;
- 2. approve the minor variance with conditions;
- 3. defer the minor variance for further consideration at a later date; or
- 4. reject the minor variance.

Financial Impact:

None at this time.

Attachments:

Attachment No. 1: Key Map Attachment No. 2: Lot Grading Plan

Respectfully Submitted by,

Christina Coulter Planner Reviewed by,

Karen Ellis, Director of Planning

Yvette Hurley Chief Administrative Officer

Attachment No.1: Key Map



